

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 2745	
10/786,734	02/25/2004	Paul Brent Rivers	BE1-0037US		
49584	7590 03/03/2006		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYES, PLLC			WATSON, ROBERT C		
421 W. RIVE SUITE 500	RSIDE AVE.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SPOKANE, V	VA 99201	3723			

DATE MAILED: 03/03/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Sp					
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/786,734		RIVERS ET AL.						
Office Action Sum	Examiner		Art Unit							
		Robert C. V	/atson	3723						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address										
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status					ngi					
1) Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on <u>14 Fe</u>	ebruary 200	<u>5</u> .		·					
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is no	n-final.							
•	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition of Claims										
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pendir 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4</u> 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6-9</u> is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are object	,5 and 10-20 is/are rejected.	withdrawn fr			ća-					
Application Papers										
9) The specification is objecte 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request tha Replacement drawing sheet(s 11) The oath or declaration is o	is/are: a) acc at any objection to the a) including the correct	epted or b)[drawing(s) be tion is require	held in abeyance. Se d if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 C						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					\$#					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (P Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/10/04,6/8/04.			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		<i>*</i> ΓO-152)					

Art Unit: 3723

The US Patent application numbers listed on the 3/10/04 IDS POL-1449 are not prior items and they have therefor been lined through by the examiner

Claims 1-3 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 6 it is unclear whether the "fish tape" is part of the claimed combination or not. The claims are therefor indeterminate of scope.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frank ('821).

In Frank 20 is a line clamp, 32 is a wedge, 36 is a shim, and 34 is an attachment portion capable of connecting the line clamp to an end of a fish tape. The line clamp is seen to be smaller than the size of a line raceway. In any case, a raceway could be found that is larger than the Frank clamp. Statements of intended use have no patentable significance. In any case, the Frank line clamp is capable of performing the recited intended use.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 10/786,734 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

٤,

Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank in view of Schinske.

Schinske teaches that flexible line may be disassembled by using a threaded connection between sections of flexible line.

To provide a threaded connector on the attachment portion of Frank would have been obvious for one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made in view of the disclosure of Schinske. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to provide a convenient means of selectively assembling various lengths of line for convenience.

Claims 4,5, and 10-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention/species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 2/14/06.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 571 272-4498. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs. , 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 571 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/786,734 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rcw

ROBERT C. WATSON PRIMARY EXAMINER